

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,593	02/05/2007	Eckhard Bauer	N81813LPK	3663
1333 EASTMAN K	7590 05/03/2010 ODAK COMPANY	EXAMINER		
PATENT LEC	GAL STAFF	HA, NGUYEN Q		
343 STATE S ROCHESTER	TREET . NY 14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2854	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,593	BAUER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
'Wyn' Q. HA	2854	

	'Wyn' Q. HA	2854						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application, application, application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal de) to other evidence, with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above; if checket. A vry reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed pattent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	t prior to the data of filing a brief							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, it (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e.	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/Judy Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854	NQH							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1, 3 and 8-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagatani et al (US 6,047,148) although there were indeed some minor editorial errors on page 5 of the Office action mailed on 3/2/2010. Namely, on page 5 of the Office action, the examiner inadvertently cited some paragraphs from Nagatani as well as some paragraphs from another reference Takano et al (US 6,029,041). However, these cited paragraphs are independent from one another and would not affect the 35 U.S.C. 10/2(b) rejection based solely on Nagatani.

The amendments to the specification as filed doesn't appear to reflect or interconnect with what is illustrated in fig. 3 of the specification originally disclosed, but merely repeat of what was and is being claimed. Even if there were interconnections between the admended specification and fig. 3, all the rejections would still stand because these rejections, as detailed in the Office action, were based at least on page 3 lines 5-15 and page 7 lines 26-28 of the specification, which applicant believes to be the support for the amended specification.

To this end, the Office action has addressed all the recited limitations which have been achieved by prior art of record, regardless of any different advantage or intended use brought by the present invention.